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Abstract

Background: The aim of the study was to define the association between federal payer insurance and neurosurgical economic trends and readmissions after extracranial ventricular shunts (EVS) procedures and investigate these trends from 2009 to 2013 in the United States.

Methods: We identified the procedure of insertion, replacement, or removal of EVS by applying the International Classification of Disease, Ninth Edition, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) Procedure Codes of 231-235, 239, 242 and 243. Data were extracted for years 2009 to 2013. Year-wise distributions of index stays, readmission, percent readmission, cost for index stays and cost for readmissions for patients requiring EVS procedures who possess Medicare insurance (ME-patients) and Medicaid insurance (MD-patients) were described. Z-test statistic was used to compare the two groups.

Results: During the 5 years of study, we recorded 149,220 index stays and 29,655 readmissions within 30 days involving the procedures of insertion, replacement, or removal of an EVS. Throughout the study period, hospital readmissions involving patients requiring procedures involving EVS consistently demonstrated both the highest annual mean cost for readmissions and the highest percentage of patient readmissions in regard to all neurosurgical procedures. The differences between the annual index stays and readmissions for ME-patients versus MD-patients requiring EVS were extremely statistically significant throughout the entire study period (P < 0.0001, P < 0.0001). The mean cost of readmissions within 30 days for all patients varied significantly from $19,005 to $23,499, with an average cost of $21,279 for readmissions occurring annually during the study period (P = 0.0161). The differences between the mean cost for index stays and readmissions for ME-patients versus MD-patients requiring EVS were extremely statistically significant throughout the entire study period (P < 0.0001, P < 0.0001).

Conclusions: Federal payer insurance has a significant association with neurosurgical economic and patient readmission trends after EVS procedures in hospitals in the US. Further study is needed to investigate the etiology of these differences between patients’ payer insurance and their impact on clinical outcomes after EVS procedures.
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Introduction

Extraventricular drains (EVD) or extracranial ventricular shunts (EVS) are necessary in many neurosurgical emergencies and in the treatment of diseases such as hydrocephalus. Procedures involving EVS, however, are not without their risks which include surgical site or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) infections, intracranial or intraventricular hemorrhage, seizure, neurological deficits, coma and death [1, 2]. Due to the incidence of these complications and the growing economic cost of healthcare, the rate of readmissions after EVS procedures is of particular interest to both neurosurgeons and hospital administrators, as ventricular shunts remain one of the most commonly performed neurosurgical procedures resulting in a significant monetary cost from a national perspective [1, 2]. Given the previously reported significant medical and economic quandary that EVS procedures pose to the medical community and patients in prior registry studies, we investigated whether this association was evident in a large national database throughout the entire population [1, 2]. There is a paucity of information on these trends in the literature. We sought to examine the rate of readmission and economic trends across different payer groups after EVS procedures in American hospitals. Utilizing data from the Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) of the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP), we analyzed the association between federal payer insurance and neurosurgical economic trends and readmissions after EVS procedures and investigated these trends from 2009 to 2013 in the United States.
Materials and Methods

For our study, we conducted a retrospective analysis utilizing data obtained from the NIS, which was gathered by the HCUP network (HCUPnet), which collects hospital discharge information from non-federal, short-term, general and specialty-specific hospitals [3]. The NIS represents approximately a 20% stratified sample of the hospitals represented in the HCUP database and is weighted to generate nationally representative estimates.

We identified 149,220 discharges and 29,655 readmissions within 30 days involving the procedures of insertion, replacement, or removal of an EVS. The majority of patients (27.92%) were between 18 and 44 years old, 51.34% of whom were males. Throughout the study period, hospital readmissions involving patients requiring procedures involving EVS consistently demonstrated both the highest annual mean cost for readmissions and the highest percentage of patient readmissions in regard to all neurosurgical procedures. The annual total index stays and the annual total readmissions for all patients requiring procedures involving EVS in the US did not vary significantly, with the number of index stays ranging from 31,820 to 28,546, and the number of readmissions ranging from 6,435 to 5,559. There was an average of 29,844 index stays and 5,931 readmissions annually during the study period (P = 0.1177, P = 0.0987). From 2009 to 2013, the annual index stays and readmissions for ME-patients and MD-patient did not vary significantly, with the percentage of readmissions ranging from 19.2% to 17.5% and 21.2% to 21.8%, respectively (Fig. 1, P = 0.197, P = 0.2594). However, as demonstrated by Figure 1, the differences between the annual index stays and readmissions for ME-patients versus MD-patients requiring EVS were extremely statistically significant throughout the entire study period (P < 0.0001, P < 0.0001). The mean cost for index stays for all patients requiring EVS procedures did not vary significantly from $36,811 to $42,746 with an average cost of $39,144 for index stays occurring annually (P = 0.1940); however, the mean cost of readmissions within 30 days for all patients varied significantly from $19,005 to $23,499, with an average cost of $21,279 for readmissions occurring annually during the study period (P = 0.0161). From 2009 to 2013, the mean cost for index stays and readmissions for ME-patients varied significantly from $29,286 to $34,150 and $17,411 to $21,279. The differences between the annual index stays and readmissions for Medicare (ME) and Medicaid (MD) patients requiring EVS were extremely statistically significant throughout the entire study period (P < 0.0001, P < 0.0001).

Results

During the 5 years of study, we recorded 149,220 index stays and 29,655 readmissions within 30 days involving the procedures of insertion, replacement, or removal of an EVS. The majority of patients (27.92%) were between 18 and 44 years old, 51.34% of whom were males. Throughout the study period, hospital readmissions involving patients requiring procedures involving EVS consistently demonstrated both the highest annual mean cost for readmissions and the highest percentage of patient readmissions in regard to all neurosurgical procedures. The annual total index stays and the annual total readmissions for all patients requiring procedures involving EVS in the US did not vary significantly, with the number of index stays ranging from 31,820 to 28,546, and the number of readmissions ranging from 6,435 to 5,559. There was an average of 29,844 index stays and 5,931 readmissions within 30 days (19.9%) occurring annually during the study period (P = 0.1177, P = 0.0987). From 2009 to 2013, the annual index stays and readmissions for ME-patients and MD-patient did not vary significantly, with the percentage of readmissions ranging from 19.2% to 17.5% and 21.2% to 21.8%, respectively (Fig. 1, P = 0.197, P = 0.2594). However, as demonstrated by Figure 1, the differences between the annual index stays and readmissions for ME-patients versus MD-patients requiring EVS were extremely statistically significant throughout the entire study period (P < 0.0001, P < 0.0001). The mean cost for index stays for all patients requiring EVS procedures did not vary significantly from $36,811 to $42,746 with an average cost of $39,144 for index stays occurring annually (P = 0.1940); however, the mean cost of readmissions within 30 days for all patients varied significantly from $19,005 to $23,499, with an average cost of $21,279 for readmissions occurring annually during the study period (P = 0.0161). From 2009 to 2013, the mean cost for index stays and readmissions for ME-patients varied significantly from $29,286 to $34,150 and $17,411 to $21,279.
$21,672, with an average cost of $31,838 and $19,354 for index stays and readmissions, respectively (Table 1, \( P = 0.0278, P = 0.0032 \)). Additionally, throughout the study period, the mean cost for index stays for MD-patients did not vary significantly from $39,924 to $47,726, with an average cost of $41,090 for index stays occurring annually (\( P = 0.1571 \)); however, the mean cost of readmissions within 30 days for MD-patients varied significantly from $19,856 to $25,444, with an average cost of $22,452 for readmissions occurring annually, respectively (Table 1, \( P = 0.0244 \)). As demonstrated by Table 1, the differences between the mean cost for index stays and readmissions for ME-patients versus MD-patients requiring procedures involving EVS were extremely statistically significant throughout the entire study period (\( P < 0.0001, P < 0.0001 \)). As noted by Table 2, the most frequent reason for readmission within 30 days after EVS was complication of a device, implant, or graft, which was the cause for readmission an average of 32.6% of the time throughout the study period.

**Discussion**

In this study, we found that 30-day readmission rates and mean cost of index stays and readmissions for patients requiring procedures involving EVS were consistently related to patients’ payer insurance. Notably, there were significant differences between ME-patients and MD-patients in their respective annual index stays, readmission rates and mean costs for both index stays and readmissions, with healthcare costs involving MD-patients consistently being more expensive. These results prompt query into the discrepancies between patients’ payer insurance and their outcomes after EVS procedures including rate of readmission, effects on neurosurgical economic trends, and possible implications of this information for patients and financial planners of healthcare institutions.

The etiology of the differences in 30-day readmissions and mean cost of index stays and readmissions between ME-patients and MD-patients may be multifactorial in nature. Previous studies have shown emergent index admission status and patient comorbidities were highly predictive of readmission [5, 6]. Notably, Bina et al reported emergent admission more frequently for MD-patients compared with other primary payers, including ME-patients [5]. In addition, studies have shown that the severity of disease when admitted is highly predictive of readmission and that MD-patients were most frequently seen with extreme severity [5, 7]. Similarly, Buchanan et al also analyzed readmissions within 30-days requiring procedures involving EVS.

### Table 1. Annual Mean Cost for Index Stays and Readmissions After EVS Procedures for Medicare and Medicaid Patients in US From 2009 to 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual mean cost of all index stays</td>
<td>$36,811</td>
<td>$37,864</td>
<td>$42,746</td>
<td>$39,472</td>
<td>$38,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual mean cost of all readmission</td>
<td>$19,005</td>
<td>$20,512</td>
<td>$21,076</td>
<td>$23,499</td>
<td>$22,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual mean cost of Medicare index stays</td>
<td>$29,286</td>
<td>$30,417</td>
<td>$34,150</td>
<td>$32,735</td>
<td>$32,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual mean cost of Medicaid index stays</td>
<td>$17,411</td>
<td>$19,856</td>
<td>$18,309</td>
<td>$19,522</td>
<td>$21,672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual mean cost of Medicare readmissions</td>
<td>$39,924</td>
<td>$40,609</td>
<td>$47,726</td>
<td>$44,192</td>
<td>$43,003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual mean cost of Medicaid readmissions</td>
<td>$19,856</td>
<td>$19,931</td>
<td>$22,762</td>
<td>$25,444</td>
<td>$24,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual mean cost of Medicare index stays</td>
<td>$29,286</td>
<td>$30,417</td>
<td>$34,150</td>
<td>$32,735</td>
<td>$32,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value for difference of index stays costs between Medicare and Medicaid patients after EVS</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value for difference of readmissions costs between Medicare and Medicaid patients after EVS</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EVS: extracranial ventricular shunt.

### Table 2. Most Frequent Reasons for Readmissions Within 30 Days After EVS Procedures for Patients in US From 2009 to 2013*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complication of device, implant or graft</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications of surgical procedures or medical care</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septicemia (except in labor)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy, convulsions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other hereditary and degenerative nervous system conditions</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute cerebrovascular disease</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infections</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other nervous system disorders</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percentage readmitted. EVS: extracranial ventricular shunt.
of all their neurosurgical patients and identified a significant trend: the largest subgroup of their readmissions involved issues with CSF shunts [2]. Focusing on complications after CSF shunt procedures, Simon et al demonstrated many risk factors associated with shunt infection: young age, female sex, African American race, public insurance and subsequent revision procedures [8]. These results reflect similar findings from previous studies that have demonstrated that a large majority of readmissions after neurological surgery involve CSF shunts, with similar shunt failure rates throughout US, UK and Ireland [9-13]. These studies demonstrate that patient factors such as age and comorbidities, insurance status, and other factors contribute to the incidence of CSF shunt infections and may further influence the outcome discrepancies between ME-patients and MD-patients requiring EVS procedures. However, without further study of patient outcomes after EVS procedures, it is difficult to assess the true impact of the relationship between patients’ payer insurance and their readmissions after EVS procedures.

Information involving patients’ payer insurance and its effect on outcomes after EVS procedures may play an influential role in the financial aspects of neurosurgical treatment, which directly affects healthcare administrators of institutions. Previous investigation focusing on pediatric cases identified a statistically significant difference in the length of index visit stay between those with private insurance and those with public insurance, which may directly affect neurosurgical economic trends including mean cost of index stays [1]. Shannon et al reported a 1-day visit stay for those with public insurance; however, Walker et al reported that those with public insurance stayed 5 days longer than those with private insurance [1, 7]. These findings suggest that a longer stay may be a contributing factor for the increased risk of readmission due to higher risk of infection, which is, overall, the most common reason for readmission after neurosurgical procedures [12, 13]. Thus, a longer stay may also be a contributing factor to the higher cost of MD-patients’ index stays. Additionally, Moghavem et al demonstrated that patients with Medicaid payer insurance are associated with increased risk of readmission, possibly suggesting low socioeconomic status correlates with higher health care spending [6]. Although there is a significant relationship between length of index stay and patient insurance status, the association between outcomes of patients undergoing EVS procedures and the effects of those outcomes on the financial aspects of neurosurgical procedures remains poorly understood and requires further investigation.

This study has several limitations that affect the interpretation of its results. The NIS does not provide clinical details such as vital statistics, laboratory data, or pharmacy data, and may have omitted potentially important confounding variables and details regarding medical treatments. The NIS utilizes large sample sizes, and we acknowledge the need for validation in additional datasets. We can only comment on the association of federal payer insurance and neurosurgical economic and readmission trends after EVS procedures since this is a retrospective analysis. Prospective studies would be needed to prove causation of payer insurance and neurosurgical trends after EVS procedures. Finally, generalizability of our study to countries other than the United States may not be possible as healthcare settings may differ.

Conclusion

Federal payer insurance has a significant association with neurosurgical economic and patient readmission trends after EVS procedures in hospitals in the US. Considering the significantly higher incidence of readmissions after EVS procedures compared to any other neurosurgical procedure, the outcomes of patients are significant for both patients and healthcare institutions. During the study period, MD-patients and ME-patients had consistently significantly different numbers of annual index stays, annual readmission rates, and mean costs for both index stays and readmissions. Specifically, for healthcare institutions, the financial burden and economics aspects of EVS procedures emphasize the importance of examining patient outcomes after these procedures, which have been demonstrated to be associated with patients’ payer insurance. Further study is needed to investigate the etiology of these differences between patients’ payer insurance and their impact on clinical outcomes after EVS procedures.
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