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Abstract

Dihydroergotamine (DHE), in the setting of polypharmacy, may increase the possibility of reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome (RCVS). A 64-year-old woman with chronic migraine and medication-overuse headache (on amitriptyline, duloxetine, erenumab) was electively admitted for 5 days of intravenous (IV) ketamine (up to 55 mg/h) to treat intractable migraine pain. On the seventh day, upon receiving her forth dose of IV DHE (0.25 mg) and the first of IV valproic acid (VPA) (500 mg) adjunctively, she developed acute bilateral decreased visual acuity, bitemporal visual field deficit, and unsteady gait. Brain magnetic resonance imaging/magnetic resonance angiography (MRI/MRA) showed confluent bilateral T2 hyperintensities with punctate restricted diffusion in the occipital lobes associated with multi-segmental narrowing involving anterior, middle, posterior cerebral, and basilar arteries consistent with RCVS. Verapamil was initiated, whereas DHE, neuroleptics, and serotonergic agents were discontinued. Though she continued to have constant, non-thunderclap migrainous headache, her other neurologic symptoms resolved in 24 h. Concomitant use of VPA and erenumab with DHE may result in RCVS. VPA likely displaces the protein-bound DHE causing a transient surge of free DHE level in the serum. Erenumab likely impairment of the protective vasodilatory mechanism, augmenting DHE’s vasoconstrictive effect. This case report highlights the importance and awareness of such a drug-drug interaction with DHE.
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Introduction

Clinical management of migraine, a disease characterized by disabling headache attacks believed to be due to trigeminovascular dysfunction, can be challenging. Migraine preventives carried over from medications designed for other medical conditions (e.g., antiepileptics, antihypertensives, antidepressants) commonly display unique adverse event (AE) profiles that can lead to reduced medication adherence and poor migraine control [1]. In refractory migraine, use of intravenous (IV) medications such as lidocaine, dihydroergotamine (DHE), or ketamine may offer acute relief [2, 3]. Despite achieving greater headache control by using a cocktail of medications, unexpected AEs may occur, especially in the setting of polypharmacy. Here, we report a case of a woman who developed posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES) and reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome (RCVS) while being treated with IV ketamine, neuroleptics, DHE, and other medications in a hospital setting.

DHE, an ergot derivative synthesized in the 1940s, interacts with multiple serotonergic receptors blocking the release of neuropeptides and subsequent neurogenic inflammation and vasodilation in migraine attacks [4]. It is commonly believed that DHE produces sustained migraine relief via 5-hydroxytryptamine (5HT) 1B/1D agonism with longer and stronger receptor binding than sumatriptan [5]. The therapeutic effect of DHE does not correlate with its serum concentration, probably due to the longer half-life of the active metabolites [6]. Although both IV and intranasal DHE have superior efficacy compared to placebo in randomized clinical trials [7, 8], DHE’s clinical utility can be hampered by unwanted AEs, including nausea/vomiting and vasoconstriction, particularly when used in the presence of potent enzyme inhibitors (e.g., macrolides, certain antivirals, and antifungals). DHE reduces carotid arteriovenous anastomosis via the 5HT1B receptor and α2A/2C adrenoreceptor agonism in a dose-dependent fashion [9]. DHE and its metabolites (8’,OH-DHE and 8’,10’-OH-DHE) also reduce venous compliance [6], and it is a more potent vasoconstrictor than meningeal/coronary arterial vasoconstrictor [10, 11]. Here, we report a previously DHE-tolerant patient developing DHE-triggered vasospasm possibly facilitated by valproic acid and erenumab.

Case Report

A 64-year-old woman with a history of chronic migraine and medication-overuse headache was admitted for manage-
ment of intractable migraine. She had episodic migraine in her 20s and 30s followed by complete and seemingly spontaneous remission until after menopause, when her migraine returned and eventually became continuous and debilitating. She experienced acute and preventive treatment failures from non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, triptans, topiramate, propranolol, amitriptyline, onabotulinumtoxinA, sphenopalatine ganglion block, greater occipital nerve block, medical marijuana, transcutaneous supraorbital nerve stimulation, and many other agents. Upon presentation to our institution, she was taking oral divalproex sodium and oral morphine. She underwent two hospitalizations in 2017 wherein she responded well to lidocaine infusion and successfully weaned off of morphine each time. However, she experienced relapse within weeks of discharge, leading to her recurrent reliance on opioids. During each admission, she tolerated maximal doses of DHE (1 mg every 8 h), various neuroleptics, magnesium sulfate, ketorolac, and methylprednisolone without significant AEs. Upon discharge, she was given a prescription for ketamine nasal spray to try on an introductory basis as an outpatient. She subsequently required cholecystectomy, which was believed due to ketamine nasal spray overuse. Despite using multiple migraine preventives (duloxetine, amitriptyline, erenumab (started June 2018 at 70 mg, increased to 140 mg in August 2018), single pulse transcranial magnetic stimulation), she remained dependent on morphine (36 mg/day) with daily headache (intensity 3 - 10/10, average 7/10) prior to this admission. In mid-September 2018, she was admitted electively for ketamine infusion and detoxification from morphine.

Other pertinent history includes irritable bowel syndrome with constipation, hypothyroidism, remote focal subarachnoid hemorrhage of unclear etiology, anxiety/depression, remote smoking (quit 19 years prior), and no ethanol abuse.

On admission exam, she was well nourished (body mass index (BMI) 22.7 kg/m²) with normal vital signs, normal general exam, and no focal neurological deficits. Complete metabolic panel and blood count were unremarkable.

Figure 1 details her medication regimen during her hospitalization. Upon admission, she was placed on a standard migraine IV cocktail (magnesium sulfate, ketorolac, neuroleptic) along with a ketamine infusion, which was carefully titrated up to 55 mg/h (0.89 mg/kg/h) while monitoring for AEs (e.g., hypertension, hallucination and anxiety). A clonidine patch was used routinely to counteract ketamine-associated sympathomimetic AEs, including hypertension. DHE 0.5 mg was added on the third day for two doses but was stopped due to chest pain. It was restarted on the sixth day at 0.25 mg every 8 h (q8h) along with methadone (for morphine detoxification) and methylprednisolone (replacing ketorolac) as her headache remained poorly controlled. Ketamine was tapered down starting on day 5 and discontinued on day 6. On the seventh day, her headache remained a 9/10. She received her last IV DHE 0.25 mg at 11:52 am, her first chlorpromazine 6.25 mg (replacing promethazine) IV dose at 12 pm, and first valproic acid (VPA) 500 mg IV dose at 12:26 pm that day.

Around 1 - 1:30 pm, while still experiencing severe headache, she developed acute onset of visual change, initially described as double vision and later as blurry, as if “looking through a veil.” On exam, she had normal vital signs and mentation, but displayed reduced visual acuity (worse on the left)
with no afferent pupillary defect. Visual field deficit was difficult to ascertain accurately but appeared to be bitemporal. Other cranial nerves, motor/sensory, coordination and tendon reflex exams were normal, except for mild unsteady gait due to subjective dizziness. DHE, chlorpromazine, VPA, methylprednisolone, amitriptyline, and duloxetine were all discontinued. Around 2 pm, her visual acuity and visual fields seemed to improve, but she declined complete ophthalmologic reassessment due to headache and photosensitivity. Brain computed tomography (CT) in the afternoon found bilateral occipital low attenuation. Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) performed later at night showed abnormal T2 hyperintensity in bilateral parietal, occipital, and posterior temporal white matter with small areas of restricted diffusion in the bilateral occipital lobes (Fig. 2). Brain magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) revealed diffuse multi-segmental narrowing involving the bilateral anterior cerebral, middle cerebral, posterior cerebral and basilar arteries (Fig. 2). The findings were deemed consistent with PRES and RCVS. On the next day, her visual and gait deficits completely resolved. She was placed on verapamil and morphine for 3 days and then discharged home. She has lost follow-up ever since. At the time of writing, she is having only a few migraines a month managed by topiramate, fremanezumab, and cognitive behavioral therapy.

Discussion

Based on the clinical course, her RCVS occurred after receiving chlorpromazine, DHE, and VPA while on magnesium sulfate, duloxetine, amitriptyline, erenumab, methylprednisolone, and methadone. Ketamine infusion had been discontinued the night before. Reviewing the AE profiles from these medications, the most plausible causative agent for PRES/RCVS is DHE, but with some caveats. Since our patient was tolerant to maximal doses of DHE (1 mg q8h) in the past, we propose and discuss four possible explanations for vasospasm under lower-dose DHE (0.25 mg q8h).

The first is the presence of enzyme inhibitors that increase the DHE serum level. DHE is primarily metabolized by cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP3A4) in the liver with an α phase half-life of 1.45 h and β phase half-life of 15 h [12]. We reviewed all the medications with possible enzyme inhibition activity (Table 1); none were strongly affecting CYP3A4. So, this is likely not the main reason.

The second is the presence of other vasoactive agents that...
potentiates the DHE effect. Ketamine is a noncompetitive N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist that also affects sodium- and voltage-dependent calcium channels, and activates nitric oxide cyclic guanosine monophosphate pathways [13]. These properties likely have no role in vasoconstriction. In addition, ketamine and duloxetine may increase sympathetic activity as both are associated with hypertension. However, in our case, the patient’s blood pressures were within normal range (with the use of clonidine patch) during the entire hospitalization. Furthermore, ketamine had also been discontinued for more than 12 h when PRES/RCVS occurred. So, with ketamine’s half-life of 2 - 4 h, it is likely not the main contributor.

The third possible explanation is that the release of free DHE from protein-bound DHE creates a transient level surge. DHE distributes very quickly, with only 6% of intravenously administered doses observed in plasma in 5 min. DHE not only binds highly to plasma proteins (94-99%), but also distributes highly to blood cells (> 50%) [12]. Temporally, the visual symptoms started 30 - 60 min after VPA/chlorpromazine infusion. VPA, which is also highly protein bound, can compete for protein binding, resulting in displacement of DHE. Such a phenomenon has been observed from VPA on phenytoin [14]. Chlorpromazine can also displace DHE, but it likely plays a less significant role given its lower dosing (6.25 mg) compared to VPA (500 mg). This mechanism seems a plausible explanation for potentiating the DHE vasoconstriction and PRES/RCVS.

The forth one is the calcitonin-gene related peptide (CGRP) functional blockade hampers CGRP’s protective vasodilatory effect: erenumab blocks CGRP receptors. Given that CGRP is a potent vasodilator, blockade of its activity particularly at the receptor level could theoretically lead to derangements in cerebrovascular tone autoregulation [15]. It is worth noting that CGRP receptor blockade reverses CGRP-induced vasodilatation, but does not cause vasoconstriction in cranial arteries [16]. Therefore, erenumab may hamper CGRP’s protective vasodilatory effect thereby indirectly augmenting DHE’s vasoconstrictive AE [17].

This case report is limited by a lack of a re-challenge test. Based on the Naranjo adverse drug reaction scale, we can only assume a probable correlation. Since re-challenging is clearly unethical, more clinical awareness about this potential drug interaction with DHE would help in identifying similar cases and avoiding the same outcome.

Conclusions

We report a rare but serious complication (PRES/RCVS) of DHE in the setting of polypharmacy. A clear response to a lower dose of DHE prompted us to look for DHE augmenting factors. The infusion of VPA likely displaced the protein-bound DHE causing a transient surge of free DHE in the serum that subsequently potentiated the vasoconstrictive effect. While VPA is commonly known for its enzyme inhibitory effect, the displacement of protein-bound medication is a documented phenomenon but less well recognized. In addition, treatment with erenumab may have impaired the protective vasodilatory mechanisms for cerebrovascular autoregulation from CGRP.

Lack of such protective vasodilation may inadvertently augment DHE’s vasoconstrictive effect. This case report highlights the importance of awareness of potential drug-drug interactions with DHE.
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