Selective Laser Stimulation of $A\delta$ - or C-Fibers Through Application of a Spatial Filter: A Study in Healthy Volunteers

Renske M. Hoeben^{a, d}, Imre P. Krabbenbos^{b, d, e}, Eric P. A. van Dongen^b, Selma C. Tromp^c, Eduard H. J. F. Boezeman^c, Christiaan F. P. van Swol^a

Abstract

Background: Pain is perceived through different pathways involving thinly myelinated A δ -fibers and unmyelinated C-fibers. A δ -fibers are responsible for a quick, sharp pain, whereas C-fibers relate to a lateonset, burning sensation. Several studies suggest that it is essential to investigate nociceptive fibers separately and in relation to each other. The aim of this study was to selectively stimulate A δ - and C-fibers using a 980-nm diode laser by varying the laser settings and the stimulated surface area in healthy subjects.

Methods: Selective activation of Aδ- and C-fibers is possible using their distinctive physiological characteristics. We used the differences in heat activation threshold and surface density to selectively activate Aδ- and C-fibers. Stimuli from a 980-nm diode laser were applied to 44 healthy volunteers. Two different laser settings were applied for selective stimulation of Aδ-fibers (20 ms at 2.7 W) and C-fibers (50 ms at 0.8 W). A spatial titanium filter, containing 40 holes with varying diameters (0.4, 0.6, 1, and 2 mm), was used to apply the stimuli with varying surface areas. The test subjects received 80 stimuli in total and were asked to press a button when the stimulation was felt. Reaction times between 300 and 650 ms indicate Aδ-fiber activation, whereas reaction times between 650 and 2,000 ms indicate C-fiber activation.

Results: First, the usage of response time to discriminate between $A\delta$ - and C-fiber activation was validated. Then, the combined use of the two different stimulation protocols and a spatial filter turned out to be effective to achieve different probabilities of stimulating $A\delta$ - or C-fibers. With the $A\delta$ -protocol and a grid diameter of 2 mm, an $A\delta$:C response ratio of 1.17:1 was reached, and with the C-protocol and a

Manuscript accepted for publication November 11, 2015

doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.14740/jnr361w

grid diameter of 0.4 mm, the Aδ:C ratio was 0.05:1.

Conclusions: Our results indicate that cutaneous heat stimuli applied with a 980-nm diode laser, using a specific stimulation paradigm and a spatial filter, allow us to selectively activate $A\delta$ - and C-fibers. These findings could serve as a basis for clinical investigation of different involvements of $A\delta$ - and C-fibers in patients suffering from small fiber neuropathies.

Keywords: Epidermal nerve fibers; Laser; Selective stimulation; Nerve fiber density

Introduction

Pain is perceived through different pathways involving thinly myelinated A δ -fibers and unmyelinated C-fibers. A δ -fibers are thought to be responsible for first or fast pain described as pinpricking, whereas activity of C-fibers causes late-onset, burning pain [1].

Several methods have been utilized to investigate nociceptive fibers separately and in relation to each other. Measurement data on the condition of A δ - and/or C-fibers combined with patient's sensations could possibly show objective changes in the nervous system. This is desired to diagnose patients more specifically regarding different nerve diseases.

The two nociceptive pathways (A δ - and C-fibers) have distinct anatomical and physiological characteristics. By exploiting the differences in heat activation threshold [2-4] and epidermal nerve fiber density [2, 5, 6], it is possible to conceive experimental conditions that allow selective activation of A δ - and C-fibers.

In this study, we experimentally investigated the feasibility of a spatial filter to selectively stimulate $A\delta$ -fibers and C-fibers using a 980-nm diode laser in healthy subjects. Furthermore, the usage of response time to discriminate between $A\delta$ - and C-fiber activation is validated and the experimentally determined probability of stimulating $A\delta$ - or C-fibers is compared to the theory.

Materials and Methods

 $A\delta$ - and C-fibers have distinctive anatomical and physiological characteristics. These differences are used to selectively

Articles © The authors ∣ Journal compilation © J Neurol Res and Elmer Press Inc™ ∣ www.neurores.org

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License, which permits

unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

^aDepartment of Medical Physics and Instrumentation, St. Antonius Hospital Nieuwegein, The Netherlands

^bDepartment of Anaesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Medicine, St. Antonius Hospital Nieuwegein, The Netherlands

^cDepartment of Clinical Neurophysiology, St. Antonius Hospital Nieuwegein, The Netherlands

^dThese authors contributed equally to this article.

^eCorresponding Author: Imre P. Krabbenbos, Department of Anaesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Medicine, St. Antonius Hospital Nieuwegein, Koekoekslaan 1, 3435 CM Nieuwegein, The Netherlands. Email: i.krabbenbos@antoniusziekenhuis.nl



Probability fiber stimulation

Figure 1. Probability of A δ - or C-fiber activation at varying laser beam diameters (D), (Equation (1)), derived for the average spatial distribution of A δ - and C-fibers ($\rho_{A\delta} = 0.5/mm^2$ and $\rho_C = 5/mm^2$ [2]. The error bars represent the probability for the upper and lower limit of the fiber density A δ -fibers 0.1 - 1/mm² and of C-fibers 2 - 8/mm² [5]. When the density of the nerve fibers changes due to disease, the curve is expected to shift (e.g., response rates drop).

stimulate $A\delta$ - or C-fibers in healthy adults (differences in epidermal nerve fiber density and heat activation threshold), and to discriminate between primary $A\delta$ - and C-fiber activation (difference in response times).

Differences in epidermal nerve fiber density

Due to the difference in density distribution, the probability of stimulation differs between C- and A δ -fibers. The epidermal nerve fiber density of A δ -fibers is approximately < 1/mm² and of C-fibers 2 - 8/mm² [5, 7, 8].

When assuming that the spatial distribution of Aδ- and C-

fiber receptors has a Poisson distribution with average occurrence of $\rho_{A\delta} = 0.5/\text{mm}^2$ and $\rho C = 5/\text{mm}^2$, respectively, then, the probability that A δ - of C-fibers are stimulated is given by Equation (1) [2].

 $P[N(A)] = exp(-\rho A)$ (Equation (1))

where $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is the fiber density and A is the stimulation surface.

For instance, when the laser diameter is infinitely small, the probability of stimulation is zero, while a very large laser diameter will result in a stimulation probability of 1. When calculating the probability of nerve fiber stimulation for different stimulation surfaces, it becomes evident that for certain diameters, the probability of stimulating a C-fiber differs from



Figure 2. Schematic display of the grid design and measurement set-up.

J Neurol Res. 2016;6(1):1-7

the probability of A δ -fiber stimulation. Plaghki and Mouraux [2] have used this relation to determine the probability of not activating A δ -fibers, while in this study, the focus is on the probability of activating A δ - or C-fibers. As can be seen in Figure 1, when stimulating with a small surface area (diameter < 1 mm), the chance of stimulating C-fibers. This curve is experimentally validated in this study. To increase the probability of selective A δ - or C-fiber activation, the application of a spatial filter seems a feasible method based on the differences in epidermal nerve fiber distribution [2, 6].

Spatial filter design

In order to stimulate at varying diameters we designed a spatial filter. This spatial filter, a 0.1-mm thin titanium plate, measured 40 mm in length and 90 mm in width, and contained 40 holes with diameters of 0.4, 0.6, 1, and 2 mm (surfaces of 0.13, 0.28, 0.79, and 3.14 mm², respectively, Fig. 2). The spatial filter was placed close to the skin (< 1 mm) to reduce the effect of diffraction. The laser beam (2.5 mm diameter) was directed to the skin, through the holes of the plate. For each stimulation, the laser beam was directed through one hole at a time.

Heat threshold and laser settings

In this study, a diode laser is used to achieve thermal activation. Diode lasers have been used in several studies and shown to reliably activate nociceptive Aδ- and C-fibers [2, 9, 10]. The thermal activation threshold of C-fibers is known to be lower $(\pm 40 \text{ °C})$ than that of A\delta-nociceptors $(\pm 46 \text{ °C})$ [3, 4]. Mouraux and Plaghki showed the absolute detection threshold (7.6 ± 2.8) mJ/mm²) can be assumed to reflect the detection threshold of C-fiber elicited sensations, whereas the average thermal activation threshold of A\delta-nociceptors was $10.0 \pm 1.6 \text{ mJ/mm}^2$ [11]. Therefore, low intensity laser stimuli can be used to selectively activate C-fibers, whereas high intensity laser stimuli activate Aδ-fibers [3]. We used two different laser settings to increase the probability of selectively stimulating A δ - and C-fibers: 20 ms at 2.7 W (11 mJ/mm²) for Aδ-fiber stimulation (Aδprotocol), and 50 ms at 0.8 W (8 mJ/mm²) for C-fiber stimulation (C-protocol). In both protocols, a short stimulus duration $(\leq 50 \text{ ms})$ was used to minimize the effect of temporal summation and heat conduction to surrounding tissue.

Population

Experiments were performed at the clinical neurophysiology outpatient department on a group of 44 healthy volunteers (21 men and 23 women) aged 28 - 66 (average 40.3 ± 12.2 years). None of the volunteers were taking medications or reported a (neurological) disease that might affect pain perception. Written informed consent was obtained before entering the study and all subjects were free to withdraw at any time. Participation in this study was in accordance with the Local Ethics

Committee (NL48358.100.14).

Measurement protocol

The healthy volunteers were subjected to cutaneous stimuli from a 980-nm diode laser (Ceralas D15, Biolitec AG) with a 2.5-mm spot size hand piece (Biolitec, Ceramoptec, Germany). Subjects were positioned on a comfortable bed in a quiet room kept at 21 - 23 °C, while the light was dimmed. The skin of the left lower arm (C6 dermatoma) was blackened with East Indian ink (Pelikan, Hannover, Germany) to reduce superficial light reflectance of the skin, to reduce light scattering in the skin, to increase absorption of the laser light and to rule out bias by difference in skin pigmentation [9, 12]. The laser onset was triggered manually and in silence. Subjects were asked to relax but focus on the sensation of the laser stimulus. Interstimulus duration randomly varied between 3 and 6 s. Subjects were asked to press a button held in the right hand as soon as they perceived any sensation (pin-prick, burning) within the area of stimulation. Laser safety glasses were worn by everyone in the room.

Laser stimuli were delivered 40 times (10 laser stimuli for each of the four specific diameters) per protocol. First, stimulations were applied using laser settings according to the Cprotocol, followed by the $A\delta$ -protocol.

Response time

The time between the laser stimulus and perception of the stimulus was recorded as response time. The response time was used to discriminate between A δ - and C-fiber mediated detections. Myelinated A δ -fibers are known to have faster conduction velocities (\pm 10 m/s) than unmyelinated C-fibers (\pm 1 m/s). A δ - or C-fiber related activity was defined as a response time less than 650 ms or between 650 and 2,500 ms, respectively [2, 13, 14]. Response times longer than 2,500 ms were classified as stimulus not detected. From the number of responses in relation to the amount of stimuli, the response rate was derived.

Derivation of epidermal nerve fiber density

The equation described by Plaghki (Equation (1)) is not only used to determine the optimal laser diameter for selective stimulation. As was previously explained, the shape and position of the curve depend on the fiber density (Fig. 1). By fitting the exponential function to the experimentally determined response rate, the fiber density was derived.

Results

First, the usage of response time to discriminate between $A\delta$ and C-fiber activation is validated. Second, the impact of using two different stimulation protocols is presented, and the optimal diameter for selective stimulation is determined. Third the experimentally determined probability of stimulating $A\delta$ - or

Occurence of response times



Figure 3. Histogram of all response times (Aō-protocol and C-protocol).

C-fibers is compared to the theory.

Validation of the response time cut-off

In Figure 3, a histogram of all response times is shown. A mixture of two Gaussian distributions can be seen, with peak responses at 500 and 1,000 ms. The cut-off point lies at 650 ms, which corresponds to the cut-off between A δ - and C-fiber response times described in literature [2, 13, 14].

Impact of Aδ- and C-fiber stimulation protocol and grid diameter

The overall ratio between A δ - and C-fiber responses is 0.38:1.0 (Fig. 3). When separating the data obtained with the two stimulation protocols, the ratio of A δ - and C-fiber responses changes. During C-protocol stimulation, the ratio is 0.29:1.0. During A δ -protocol stimulation, it is 0.52:1.0. Thus, the A δ -protocol increases the probability of selective A δ -fiber stimulation.

Besides differences in heat activation threshold, differences in fiber density were used to achieve selective stimulation (Table 1). The highest probability of stimulating A δ -fibers is obtained with the A δ -protocol combined and a grid diameter of 2 mm. The highest probability of C-fiber stimulation was obtained with the C-protocol and 0.4 mm grid diameter.

As can be seen in Figure 4, the probability of fiber stimulation changes with a changing diameter. With a grid diameter of 0.4 mm, the highest probability of stimulating a C-fiber (and no A δ -fiber) was reached.

Experimental validation of the probability of fiber stimulation

A comparison between the theoretical probability of fiber stimulation and the results of our experiments is shown in Figure 4. The curve of A δ stimulation results from stimulation with the A δ -protocol and the C-curve from the C-protocol.

The $A\delta$ response rate is lower than the theoretical response rate curve. At higher diameters, an increase in the amount of $A\delta$ -fiber stimulations can be seen.

The C response rate is also lower than the theory curve. The experimentally determined C response rate only slightly varies with the variation in grid diameter. The 100% response rate was not reached.

When Aδ- and C-fibers are simultaneously stimulated, only the quickest sensation is recorded, which belongs to the Aδ-fiber. To compensate, the C-fiber response rate was also calculated when all Aδ responses were excluded (C_{cor}), thus indicating the lower (C) and higher limit (C_{cor}) of the C response rate. As can be seen in Figure 4, the C_{cor} line lies between the C experiment and C theory curve.

Table 1. Ratio Between Aδ- and C-Fiber Stimulation at Different Grid Diameters per Stimulation Protocol

	Protocol			
Grid diameter	Αδ		С	
	Ratio A ð :C	Stimulations perceived	Ratio Aô:C	Stimulations perceived
0.4	0.08:1.0	48%	0.05:1.0	62%
0.6	0.16:1.0	62%	0.12:1.0	70%
1.0	0.47:1.0	74%	0.30:1.0	81%
2.0	1.17:1.0	83%	0.49:1.0	89%

In total 440 stimulations were given per protocol per diameter.



Response rate Ao

Figure 4. The theoretically (Equation (1)) and experimentally determined response rate at varying grid diameters. (Top) A δ and (bottom) C-fibers. A δ response rates are determined for the A δ -protocol, and C response rates are for the C-protocol. C_{cor} stands for C_{corrected} (A δ responses are excluded). The median response rates are shown, and the error bars represent the quartiles.

By fitting Equation (1) to the data shown in Figure 4, the fiber density was derived (Table 2). These density estimations are in the same order of magnitude as described in literature $(A\delta < 1/mm^2 \text{ and C } 2 - 8/mm^2)$, and the derived density varies with the grid diameter. The A δ fiber density at 0.4 mm was not determined as most test subjects had a response rate of zero at this diameter.

Discussion

Selective stimulation of $A\delta$ - and C-fibers was achieved by using differences in response time, heat sensitivity and fiber den-

sity. Our results indicate that using 650 ms as a cut-off point to discriminate between A δ - and C-responses is valid (Fig. 3). This matches the cut-off described in literature, which is also 650 ms [2, 13, 14]. When examining the response times per individual in general, two clusters can be seen that represent A δ and C-fiber responses. In some individuals, the cut-off point of 650 ms does not correctly separate these clusters, and the argument could be made that for these individuals, the cut-off point should be higher or lower. The cause of these individual differences and the benefit of choosing individual cut-off points need to be studied in more detail.

The usage of the two different stimulation protocols combined with the spatial filter has increased the probability of

Crid diamatan	Density of AS fibous /mm ²	Density of C-fibers, /mm ²	
Griu dialieter	Density of Ao-inders, / mm-	С	Ccorrected
0.4	-	7.29	7.29
0.6	0.37	4.26	4.75
1.0	0.28	1.34	2.80
2.0	0.16	0.32	0.73

Table 2. Derived Density of Aδ- and C-Fibers From the Median Response Rate to Stimulation at Different Grid Diameters

selective stimulation of the A δ - or C-fibers. Especially, the usage of the C-protocol (50 ms, 0.8 W) combined with a grid diameter of 0.4 mm ensures that the majority of responses result from C-fiber stimulation (A δ :C response ratio 0.05:1.0). It has to be noted that four of the 44 test subjects sensed only one or two stimulations with the C-protocol at 0.4 mm. The usage of the A δ -protocol (20 ms at 2.7 W) and 2 mm grid diameter achieves the largest number of A δ responses (A δ :C ratio 1.17:1.0). The A δ response rate can likely be further increased by increasing the grid diameter as was demonstrated in other studies [6, 7].

In this study, the theory presented by Plaghki and Mouraux [2] to determine the probability of not stimulating fibers was used to determine the probability of selective A δ - or C-fiber activation.

The results of this study show that the detection rate of fiber stimulation does not solely depend on the fiber density and stimulation surface (Fig. 4). Although the fiber density is instrumental in the detection rate, as was demonstrated by our success in selectively stimulating Aδ- or C-fibers, it is not the only parameter involved. For instance, the detection rate of C-fibers is influenced by the presence of Aδ-fibers. When both are stimulated simultaneously, only the Aδ-fiber was registered as the button is only pressed when the first pain was felt. The actual C-fiber response rate could not be determined with the current set-up and the results indicate the lower limit of the C-fiber response rate. By excluding all Aδ-responses, we were also able to show the higher limit (C_{cor}) of the C response rate at

varying grid diameters resulted in an estimated density of 0.2 - $0.4/\text{mm}^2$ for the A δ -fibers and $0.7 - 7.3/\text{mm}^2$ for the C-fibers. This range in densities occurs because the exponential function (Equation (1)) used to determine the density does not fit the experimentally determined curve (Fig. 4). This indicates that there are additional factors influencing the response rate. For the C-fibers, the decline in derived density with increasing laser diameters is also evident in the case where all A δ responses were excluded (Table 2). A possible explanation is the existence of an inhibiting effect when multiple nerve endings are simultaneously stimulated. As the perceived intensity of the stimulation was not measured, we cannot predict if the sensation could also be enhanced when several nerve endings are stimulated simultaneously. In order to use the response rate and stimulation surface to accurately derive the nerve fiber density, the other parameters that influence the response rate need to be known.

Additionally, the predicted response rate of one was not reached in the experiments. This might be the result of the

limited focus of the test subjects, or due to desensitization or habituation caused by the amount of subsequent stimulations.

The response rates and ratios of normal healthy test subjects at varying laser settings and varying grid diameters can be used to determine changes in the epidermal nerve fibers (A δ and/or C) of a patient population.

Conclusions

The aim of this study was to selectively stimulate $A\delta$ - and C-fibers using a 980-nm diode laser by varying the stimulated surface area in healthy subjects.

Our results indicate that cutaneous heat stimuli applied with a 980-nm diode laser, using a specific stimulation paradigm and a spatial filter, allow us to selectively activate Aδand C-fibers. We recommend using a 50 ms pulse of 0.8 W and 0.4 mm beam diameter for selective stimulation of C-fibers. For Aδ-fibers, a 20 ms pulse, 2.7 W and 2 mm diameter or larger is recommended. By using these protocols, the highest probability of selective stimulation was reached with Aδ.C response ratios of 0.05:1.0 and 1.17:1.0, respectively.

We suspect involvement of other factors influencing the probability of detection (additional to the fiber density). We will continue to investigate age- and gender-related differences.

Furthermore, this study will serve as a basis for an upcoming clinical investigation in the differential involvement of $A\delta$ and C-fibers in small fiber neuropathies.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that no funding was received for this research.

References

- 1. Lewis T, Ponchin E. The double pain response of the human skin to a single stimulus. Clin Sci. 1937;3:67-76.
- 2. Plaghki L, Mouraux A. How do we selectively activate skin nociceptors with a high power infrared laser? Physiology and biophysics of laser stimulation. Neurophysiol Clin. 2003;33(6):269-277.
- 3. Magerl W, Ali Z, Ellrich J, Meyer RA, Treede RD. C- and A delta-fiber components of heat-evoked cerebral poten-

tials in healthy human subjects. Pain. 1999;82(2):127-137.

- Treede RD, Meyer RA, Raja SN, Campbell JN. Evidence for two different heat transduction mechanisms in nociceptive primary afferents innervating monkey skin. J Physiol. 1995;483(Pt 3):747-758.
- 5. Ochoa J, Mair WG. The normal sural nerve in man. II. Changes in the axons and Schwann cells due to ageing. Acta Neuropathol. 1969;13(3):217-239.
- Bragard D, Chen AC, Plaghki L. Direct isolation of ultralate (C-fibre) evoked brain potentials by CO2 laser stimulation of tiny cutaneous surface areas in man. Neurosci Lett. 1996;209(2):81-84.
- 7. Mouraux A, Rage M, Bragard D, Plaghki L. Estimation of intraepidermal fiber density by the detection rate of nociceptive laser stimuli in normal and pathological conditions. Neurophysiol Clin. 2012;42(5):281-291.
- Willis WD, Jr. Central nervous system mechanisms for pain modulation. Appl Neurophysiol. 1985;48(1-6):153-165.
- 9. Krabbenbos IP, Swol van CFP, Dongen van EPA, Tromp SC, Boezeman EHJF. Stimulating Ad and C-Fibers in the

Lower Limb With a 980 nm Diode Laser. J Neurol Res. 2013;3(2):56-61.

- Tzabazis AZ, Klukinov M, Crottaz-Herbette S, Nemenov MI, Angst MS, Yeomans DC. Selective nociceptor activation in volunteers by infrared diode laser. Mol Pain. 2011;7:18.
- 11. Mouraux A, Plaghki L. Are laser-evoked brain potentials modulated by attending to first or second pain? Pain. 2007;129(3):321-331.
- 12. Arendt-Nielsen L, Bjerring P. Reaction times to painless and painful CO2 and argon laser stimulation. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol. 1988;58(3):266-273.
- 13. Opsommer E, Masquelier E, Plaghki L. Determination of nerve conduction velocity of C-fibres in humans from thermal thresholds to contact heat (thermode) and from evoked brain potentials to radiant heat (CO2 laser). Neurophysiol Clin. 1999;29(5):411-422.
- Truini A, Galeotti F, Cruccu G, Garcia-Larrea L. Inhibition of cortical responses to Adelta inputs by a preceding C-related response: testing the "first come, first served" hypothesis of cortical laser evoked potentials. Pain. 2007;131(3):341-347.